IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT DIVISION FIVE

MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL INC., et al., Petitioners,

FEB 0 3 2005

v.

Court of Appeal - Thourspy, Unit.
DIANA HERBERT

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY, Respondent;

CALIFORNIA LAW INSTITUTE, Real Party in Interest.

Court of Appeal No. A108995
San Francisco Co. Super. Ct. No. CGC03421180

BY THE COURT:*

The petition for writ of mandate is denied. The petition fails to articulate compelling circumstances warranting review by extraordinary writ or demonstrate that petitioners will suffer irreparable harm absent writ review. (Babb v. Superior Court (1971) 3 Cal.3d 841, 851; James W. v. Superior Court (1993) 17 Cal.App.4th 246, 252; Omaha Indemnity Co. v. Superior Court (1989) 209 Cal.App.3d 1266, 1269, 1272-1274.) The court observes that the petition no longer raises issues of first impression, given that on February 1, 2005, Division Four of this court issued an opinion in Californians for Disability Rights v. Mervyn's, LLC (Feb. 1, 2005, A106199) __ Cal.App.4th __.

Date_ FEB 03 2005

JONES, P.J. P.J

^{* ✓} Jones, P.J. _ Stevens, J. ✓ Simons, J. ✓ Gemello, J.